

Examiners' Report June 2017

IAL Chemistry WCH06 01





https://xtremepape.rs/

Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications come from Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <u>www.edexcel.com</u> or <u>www.btec.co.uk</u>.

Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <u>www.edexcel.com/contactus</u>.



Giving you insight to inform next steps

ResultsPlus is Pearson's free online service giving instant and detailed analysis of your students' exam results.

- See students' scores for every exam question.
- Understand how your students' performance compares with class and national averages.
- Identify potential topics, skills and types of question where students may need to develop their learning further.

For more information on ResultsPlus, or to log in, visit<u>www.edexcel.com/resultsplus</u>. Your exams officer will be able to set up your ResultsPlus account in minutes via Edexcel Online.

Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk.

June 2017

Publications Code WCH06_01_1706_ER

All the material in this publication is copyright © Pearson Education Ltd 2017

Introduction

This paper is primarily designed to assess practical knowledge as far as this is possible with a written paper, but candidates found questions which centred on laboratory situations the most demanding, particularly when in unfamiliar contexts. Candidates often seemed to rush into answers without clearly identifying what the question required and without taking the time to construct a logical response. The basic skills of plotting graphs using appropriate scales and then interpreting the results were generally not at the expected standard for advanced level candidates.

Question 1 (a) (i)

Few candidates gave a sensible observation indicating the formation of water, often simply re-stating the information given in the equation or suggesting that effervescence would be seen. While most realised that there would be a solid residue, the copper(II) oxide was often thought to be white or red. The use of the word 'precipitate' as though it was synonymous with solid was not penalised, but it should be avoided.

 (a) (i) Give <u>two observations</u> that you would expect to make when a sample of powdered malachite is heated in a <u>boiling tube</u>. (2) 	
(1) Effernescence.	
(2) A white percripitate.	in the second se
Results Pus Examiner Comments This response scored zero. The use of 'effervescence' should only be used when referring to the evolution of a gas from a liquid and copper(II) oxide is a black solid.	
- Effervescence would occur due to the	an an anna 1999 An Anna 1997 An An An Anna 1997 An Anna 1997 An Anna 1997
Corbon doxide gives off	
- The solution would two block die to	riter and a second s
the copper pride formed.	



a gas is evolved from a solution so it is incorrect here. Similarly, 'solution turns black' cannot score the mark. There is no solution and it does seem that the candidate thinks copper(II) oxide is soluble.

Question 1 (a) (ii)

The standard tests for water were very well known, with transposition of colours and the use of 'cobalt paper' being common minor errors. Few candidates ignored the stipulation for a chemical test and suggested measuring the boiling temperature.

 (ii) Describe a chemical test that you could use to show that water is formed. Give the positive result of the test.



Question 1 (a) (iii)

Almost all candidates scored full marks for the test for carbon dioxide.

Question 1 (b) (i)

The effervescence mark was usually scored but the second mark proved much less accessible, with 'blue precipitate' or just 'dissolves' being the most common attempts.

Question 1 (b) (ii)

Writing this equation proved quite a challenge. A wide range of products was suggested and those candidates who appreciated that the carbonate and the hydroxide would both react, often could not balance the equation.

(ii) Write the equation for the reaction of <u>dilute sulfur</u>ic acid with <u>malachi</u>te. State symbols are not required.

(1)CU2CO3(OH)2 + H2SO4 -> CU2SO4 + CO2 +2420 **Examiner Comments** It was guite a common error to give the product of this reaction as copper(I) sulfate. It is unclear whether the candidates believed the copper in malachite to be in the +1 oxidation state or this to be a redox reaction.

Question 1 (b) (iii)

Ammonia was correctly identified by most candidates and the most common error was to give the formula of the tetraamminecopper(II) complex.

(1)

(iii) When the reaction of dilute sulfuric acid with malachite was complete, a solution of compound **M** was added to the reaction mixture until no further change occurred. The final solution was a deep blue colour.

Identify compound M.

Ammonia, NH. **Examiner Comments** The command word 'identify' indicates that the name or the formula may be used. Here the candidate gives both. To secure the mark, both must be correct.

Question 1 (c) (i)

Most candidates were able to suggest a suitable substance to absorb water although inappropriate compounds such as copper(II) sulfate and cobalt(II) chloride were quite popular alternatives.

Question 1 (c) (ii)

Many candidates who did not know about soda lime, realised that a strong alkali was needed and suggested one of the allowed alternatives.

Question 1 (c) (iii)

This question and 1(c)(iv) demonstrated a generally poor understanding of how the experiment would work. This led to many responses along the lines that no further reaction would occur. The standard response 'heat to constant mass' was only awarded if the malachite was specified in some way.

Question 1 (c) (iv)

This item was rather better answered than 1(c)(iii) but many candidates missed the point in some way. While measuring the change in mass of X and Y was required, measuring the change in mass of malachite would not provide additional information. The term 'amount' was used in an unclear way; in chemistry amount of a substance refers to moles and this cannot be measured directly so is inappropriate here. There were numerous references to measuring the volume of carbon dioxide in a syringe, suggesting an entirely different experiment.

(iv) State the measurements that you would make in carrying out this experiment to confirm the formula of malachite.

Moarure	Volume	of Cos	gas prod	enced,	and.
Monure	the	volume of	water	modue	ed,
· had	NYO AN	d alter	reartion.	41	both equal
ĩt	bund	o Hot	realinin 1	is cons	deted
······	JF 1000	Q		and and a second se	an a



(2)

Question 2 (a) (i)

Many candidates scored full marks on this question , the most common loss of a single mark was showing pentanal giving a positive iodoform test. At the other end of the range, candidates referred to the positive result of a Brady's reagent test as a solution and confused Tollens' reagent and Fehling's solution.

(a) (i) Each of these compounds was subjected to three chemical tests.

Complete the table below to show the observation for each test. If appropriate, write 'no change'.

	Observations				
lest	pentanal	pentan-2-one	pentan-3-one		
2,4-dinitro- phenylhydrazine کوری کورک	orange/yelbw precipitate	orange/yellw precipitate	avange/yelkes precerpitede		
Tollens' reagent	silver miner will form	No change	no diarge		
lodoform test	no change	ovanye precipitate	no change		



Question 2 (a) (ii)

The essential features of the iodoform test were well known although the third mark eluded many, often because they used the standby conditions of 'heat under reflux'. A small number of candidates used the potassium iodide and sodium chlorate(I), with a few managing to confuse the two methods.

Question 2 (b)

There were many excellent answers but some candidates, who presumably did understand the technique, lost two marks by not identifying the proton environments on the structures. The use of skeletal formulae proved challenging for some candidates who either omitted the aldehyde proton or identified the ketone carbonyl group as having a proton.

Question 3 (a) (i)

Almost all candidates identified the voltmeter.

Question 3 (a) (ii)

Copper was very well known.

Question 3 (a) (iii)

Candidates were fairly even split between those with a preference for platinum and iron for this electrode.

Question 3 (a) (iv)

While many candidates scored two marks for this question, the filter paper was often omitted or simply described as the 'salt bridge'. Some candidates, looking for two components, suggested ways of preparing potassium nitrate *in situ*, including those who showed little appreciation of safety and suggested the use of potassium and nitric acid.

(iv) The components needed to make item A I moldm -3 of (2)-potassint a po bitrate salties potassium HNO3 and KT **Results**Plus **esults Examiner Tip Examiner Comments** This response includes potassium If more than one answer to a question nitrate but also a list of incorrect is given, all must be correct. reagents which negate the mark. platinum wire **Examiner Comments** A common incorrect response. filler paper dipped in KNO2 (conc.) **Results Examiner Comments** If a formula is used, with or without the

name, it must be correct.

Question 3 (a) (v)

There was a very wide variety of responses to this question, the best of which fully appreciated the need for both iron(II) and iron(III) ions to be present and in concentrations of 1 mol dm⁻³, some even explaining that mixing equal volumes would halve the original concentrations. Failure to take account of iron(III) sulfate having two moles of iron(III) ions per mole of compound lost a number of candidates a mark. Weaker responses did not include appropriate concentrations or did not realise that both iron ions were needed.

Question 3 (b)

The equation mark was frequently scored but reversing the reaction or balancing it with electrons were fairly common errors. A minority of candidates gave the cell diagram rather than the reaction equation. The majority of candidates were able to calculate E_{cell} but some then calculated the percentage accuracy (81.4%) rather than the percentage error; others used 0.35 as the denominator in their calculation.

- (b) Using the apparatus above, the student obtained a value of E_{cell}^{\ominus} = +0.35 V for the cell reaction.
 - (i) Write the equation for the cell reaction. State symbols are not required.

(1)





- (b) Using the apparatus above, the student obtained a value of E_{cell}^{\ominus} = +0.35 V for the cell reaction.
 - (i) Write the equation for the cell reaction. State symbols are not required.

(1)

$$2 \operatorname{Fe}^{3^+} + \operatorname{Cu} \longrightarrow 2 \operatorname{Fe}^{2^+} + \operatorname{Cu}^{2^+}$$

(ii) Calculate the percentage error in the student's measurement compared with the value calculated using the data at the start of the question.

$$Cu^{2+}(\Delta q) + 2e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Cu(s) \qquad E_{\alpha}^{\bullet} = +0.34 \text{ V}$$

$$fe^{3+}(\Delta q) + e^{-} \rightleftharpoons Fe^{2+}(\Delta q) \qquad E_{re}^{\bullet} = +0.34 \text{ V}$$

$$E_{cu} + E_{cell} = E_{Fe}$$

$$E_{cell} = +0.77 \text{ V} - (+0.34 \text{ V}) = \cancel{a} + 0.43 \text{ V}$$

$$\% \text{ error } = \frac{0.43 - 0.35}{0.35} \times 100\% = 22.86\%$$

$$Fesults PLS$$

$$E_{xaminer Comments}$$
The equation and the E_{cell} calculation are correct but the error calculation uses 0.35 V as the reference point.

12 IAL Chemistry WCH06 01

Question 3 (c) (i)

The quality of the responses to this question were more varied than any other. Some candidates simply did not appreciate what was required and gave detailed accounts of preparing solutions of copper(II) sulfate from the solid or even starting from copper(II) oxide. There were also extensive mole calculations aimed at determining the dilution needed but then virtually ignoring the practical aspect of the question. Where the broad thrust of the question was understood there were many examples of candidates simply mixing one part of the copper(II) sulfate solution with nine parts distilled water. Candidates who grasped the idea of the method and the need to use a volumetric flask, frequently failed to specify the use of a suitable pipette (or a burette) to measure the volume of copper(II) sulfate. Even the best responses lost marks through not mixing the diluted solution and a number of candidates described rinsing the pipette and transferring these washings to the flask.

(i) Outline how you would use the apparatus available in a school laboratory to prepare, as accurately as possible, at least 100 cm³ of a 0.10 mol dm⁻³ solution of copper(II) sulfate, starting from the 1.0 mol dm⁻³ copper(II) sulfate solution.

(3)10to a conical dask, and strong of and the motiant copper (11) suprace courson. Into a conical Hask, add locm of 1.0 mollom? apper (11) Mphate cokbon. Pour it into a volumenic flask and add the hashing to Add hater till the looch 3 mark and And MIX well.



7 Using a pipette take 10 cm³ of Copper (11) supporte sol. of 1 moldm⁻³ and pipette it out into a 100 cm³ Volumetric flask, Wash the pipette into the volumetric water. Once this distilled done distilled water into the volumetric adding till the marking on the flask. On when it is filled up to mark, put the cork on Shake and



Question 3 (c) (ii)

A reasonable number of candidates appreciated the effect of using the more concentrated solutions first. Most of the rest relied on stock statements about reducing percentage error or the effect of transfer losses.

Question 3 (c) (iii)

By far the most common reason for losing a mark was attempting to start both the axes from zero, which resulted in an inappropriately small area of the graph paper being used. Some candidates reversed the scale of the log[CuSO₄] axis which gave rise to a negative gradient. Errors in plotting the points were most likely to occur when the scales used were poorly chosen, resulting in quite unnecessary difficulties in estimating intermediate values on the axis. On the plus side, axes were well labelled, with units when appropriate, and most candidates drew sensible best fit straight lines.

(iii) Plot a graph of electrode potential, *E*, (on the <u>vertical</u> axis) against $\log_{10}[Cu^{2+}]$. Use appropriate scales, and label the axes of the graph. Electrone potennoi (E)/V



(3)



Question 3 (c) (iv)

This item scored very poorly. Having just plotted a graph of electrode potential against log[CuSO₄], candidates almost invariably referred just to the concentration of the copper(II) sulfate.

Question 4 (a)

Only the better candidates knew the oxidising symbol.

Question 4 (b)

While most candidates scored this mark, there were still many who relied on vague terms such as 'vigorous' or on inappropriate generalisations such as quenching reactions. A significant minority wanted to discuss the evolution of heat in terms of the reaction of sulfuric acid and water.

Question 4 (c)

The possibility of further nitration was appreciated by many candidates but there were plenty of responses that referred to the possibility of evaporation or decomposition.

Question 4 (d)

The use of sodium hydrogencarbonate was familiar but otherwise there was a reliance on ideas such as quenching, borrowed from other topics, or nebulous discussions of the effect of the compound on the solubility of the desired product.

(d) State why sodium hydrogencarbonate solution is added in Step 3.

To neutralize remaining acid impurities.



Question 4 (e)

The number of candidates who understand the principles involved in determining an appropriate distillation temperature range is showing a welcome improvement. However, the thinking behind many incorrect responses was hard to understand, involving very large temperature ranges and ranges that were above or below the boiling temperature.

Question 4 (f)

Most responses suggested just 'recrystallisation' which did not score but there were quite a few candidates who were able to work out a sensible response based on the information available to them.

(1)

Paper Summary

Based on their performance on this paper, candidates are offered the following advice:

- Read the questions carefully and check that your answers match the requirements of the questions.
- Do not give multiple responses to questions. If you offer a mixture of correct and incorrect responses, full marks may not be awarded.
- Familiarise yourself with the sequences involved in standard laboratory procedures.
- Be prepared to apply your knowledge of experimental procedures in situations that you have not come across before.
- When drawing graphs remember that the axes must be labelled with the variable and its units and the graph should use at least half the graph paper in both directions. Choose scales that make plotting intermediate values as straightforward as possible.
- Show your working in all calculations and set it out in such a way that both you and the examiner can check what you have done.

Grade Boundaries

Grade boundaries for this, and all other papers, can be found on the website on this link:

http://www.edexcel.com/iwantto/Pages/grade-boundaries.aspx





Llywodraeth Cynulliad Cymru Welsh Assembly Government



Pearson Education Limited. Registered company number 872828 with its registered office at 80 Strand, London WC2R 0RL.